Syllabus
VK Virtual and augmented reality programming

Subjects:	**Virtual and augmented reality programming**
Level of higher education:	**first (undergraduate)**
Course page in Moodle:	https://dl2022.khadi-kh.com/course/index.php?categoryid=39
The scope of the educational component	**3 credits (90 hours)**
Final control form	**Test**
Consultations:	**on schedule**
Name of the department:	**department of computer technologies and mechatronics**
Teaching language:	**English**
Course leader:	**Shulyakov Vladyslav Mykolayovych, Ph.D., associate professor**
Contact phone number:	+38 (067) 737-68-69
Email:	jason07@ukr.net

Brief content of the educational component:

The goal is— obtaining theoretical knowledge and practical skills in the field of virtual and augmented reality application development.

Subject:studying both classic and modern methods of developing virtual and augmented reality applications.

The main tasks of studying the academic disciplinethere is a study of theoretical aspects of virtual and augmented reality technologies; study of the functional capabilities of frameworks for creating virtual and augmented reality; formation of abilities and skills in the construction of hardware and software components of content.

Prerequisites for studying the educational component:
- Algorithmization and programming;
- Computer Graphics.
- **Competencies acquired by the acquirer:**

General competences:

− The ability to solve complex specialized tasks or practical problems of software engineering or in the learning process, characterized by complexity and uncertainty of conditions, using theories and methods of information technologies;

− Ability to carry out the system integration process, apply change management standards and procedures to maintain the integrity, overall functionality and reliability of the software.

Special competences:

− Ability to formulate and ensure software quality requirements in accordance with requirements, specifications and standards;

− The ability to accumulate, process and systematize professional knowledge about creating and maintaining software and recognizing the importance of lifelong learning;

− Develop and evaluate software design strategies; justify, analyze and evaluate the adopted project decisions from the point of view of the quality of the final software product.

Learning outcomes according to the educational program:

– Have thorough training in the field of programming, possess algorithmic thinking, software engineering methods for the implementation of software taking into account the requirements for its quality, reliability, and production characteristics;

– Know and apply professional standards and other legal documents in the field of software engineering;

– Know, understand and apply modern approaches to software quality assessment and assurance. Know and be able to apply software verification and validation methods.

Thematic plan

Topic No	Name of topics (LK, LR, PR, SZ, SR)	Number of hours	
		ocular	extramural
1	**Lecture #1:**Basics of virtual and augmented reality technologies.	2	
	Practical lesson 1	2	
	Tasks for independent work 1	7	
2	**Lecture #2:**Development of augmented reality applications.	2	
	Practical lesson 2	2	
	Assignment for independent work 2	7	
3	**Lecture #3:**Augmented reality browsers. Geolocation technologies of augmented reality.	2	
	Practical lesson 3	2	
	Assignment for independent work 3	7	
4	**Lecture #4:**Development of virtual reality applications.	2	
	Practical lesson 4	2	
	Assignment for independent work 4	7	
5	**Lecture #5:**Principles of creating VR using the Unity SDK.	2	
	Practical lesson 5	2	
	Assignment for independent work 5	7	
6	**Lecture #6:**Peculiarities of user interaction in virtual reality.	2	
	Practical lesson 6	2	
	Assignment for independent work 6	7	
7	**Lecture #7:**Development of highly effective virtual and augmented reality applications.	2	
	Practical lesson 7	2	
	Assignment for independent work 7	7	
8	**Lecture #8:**Using the OpenCV library to develop augmented reality applications.	2	
	Practical lesson 8	2	
	Assignment for independent work 8	9	
Together	**Lectures**	16	
	Practices	16	
	Independent work	58	

Individual educational and research task: not provided.

Teaching methods:
1) verbal: 1.1 traditional: lectures, explanations, stories, etc.;
1.2 interactive (non-traditional): problem lectures, discussions, etc.;
2) visual: the method of illustrations, the method of demonstrations
3) practical: 3.1 traditional: practical classes, seminars;
3.2 interactive (non-traditional): trainings, "round table", brainstorming method.

Evaluation system and requirements:

The concretization and detailing of the criteria and evaluation system, taking into account the specifics of the educational component, is carried out on the basis of the general criteria specified in STVNZ 7.1-02:2018 "Regulations on the Organization of the Educational Process at the Khnadu" (https://www.khadi.kharkov.ua/fileadmin/P_Ychebotdel/norm_dok/stvnz_7_1_02.pdf) and STVNZ 90.1-01:2021 "Evaluation of learning outcomes of higher education applicants" (https://www.khadi.kharkov.ua/fileadmin/P_Standart/pologeniya/stvnz-90.1-01_2021.pdf).

Current performance
1 The current success of applicants for the performance of educational types of work in training sessions and for the performance of independent work tasks is evaluated using a four-point rating scale with subsequent transfer to a 100-point scale. During the evaluation of the current performance inare countedall types of work provided by the curriculumprogram
1.1 Lectures occupationare evaluated by definition quality implementation of specified tasks
1.2 Practical classes are evaluated by the quality of performance of a control or individual task, performance and design of practical work.
1.3 Laboratory occupation are evaluated quality implementation reports about implementationlaboratory work.
1.4 Seminary occupation are evaluated quality implementation individualassignment/abstract.
2 Evaluation of the current success rate of higher education applicants is carried out at each practical session(laboratoryor seminary) on a four-point scale("5", "4", "Z", "2")and are enteredinaccounting journalacademicsuccess
– "excellent": acquirerflawlessly mastered the theoretical material, demonstrates deepknowledge ofrelevant topic or academic discipline, main provisions;
– "good": the applicant has mastered the theoretical material well, possesses the main aspects from primary sources and recommended literature, presents it in a reasoned manner; has practical skills, expresses his thoughts on certain problems, but certain inaccuracies and errors are assumed in the logic of the presentation of theoretical content or in the analysis of practical ones;
– "satisfactory": the applicant has basically mastered the theoretical knowledge of the educational topic or discipline, orients himself in primary sources and recommended literature, but answers unconvincingly, confuses concepts, answers additional questions uncertainly, does not have stable knowledge; when answering questions of a practical nature, reveals inaccuracy in knowledge, does not know how to evaluate facts and phenomena, connect them with the future profession;
– "unsatisfactory": the applicant has not mastered the educational material of the topic (discipline), does not know scientific facts, definitions, hardly orients himself in primary sources and recommended literature, lacks scientific thinking, practical skills

are not formed.

3 Final scorebycurrent activity is recognized as an arithmetic averagesumpoints for each lesson, for individual work, current control works according to the formula:

$$K^{current} = \frac{K1 + K2 + ... + Kn}{n},$$

where $K^{current}$ – final assessment of success based on the results of current control;

$K1, K2, ..., Kn$ - evaluation of success n-th measure of current control;

n - the number of measures of current control.

Grades are convertedinpoints according to the calculation scale (table 1).

Table 1 – Recalculation of the average grade for the current activity into a multi-point scale

4-point scale	100-point scale	4-point scale	100-point scale	4-point scale	100-point scale	4-point scale	100-point scale
5	100	4.45	89	3.90	78	3.35	67
4.95	99	4.4	88	3.85	77	3.3	66
4.9	98	4.35	87	3.80	76	3.25	65
4.85	97	4.3	86	3.75	75	3.2	64
4.8	96	4.25	85	3.7	74	3.15	63
4.75	95	4.20	84	3.65	73	3.1	62
4.7	94	4.15	83	3.60	72	3.05	61
4.65	93	4.10	82	3.55	71	3	60
4.6	92	4.05	81	3.5	70	from 1.78 to 2.99	from 35 to 59
						reassembly	
4.55	91	4.00	80	3.45	69	from 0 to 1.77	from 0 to 34
4.5	90	3.95	79	3,4	68	repeated study	

Final assessment

1 The exam is held after studyingeveryonetopics of the discipline and is composed of students of higher education during the examination session after the end of all classroom classes

2 Applicants of higher education who have completed all types of work prescribed by the curriculum in the discipline are admitted to the exam:

- were present ateveryoneclassroom classes (lectures, seminars,practical);
- completed all missed classes on time;
- scored the minimum number of points for the current academic performance (at least 60 points, whichrespondson a national scale"3");

If the current performance in the discipline is lower than 60 points, the higher education applicant has the opportunity to increase his current point to the minimum before the beginning of the examination session.

3 Assessment of the knowledge of applicants when taking the exam is carried out on a 100-point scale.

Assessment of the knowledge of applicants through testing is carried out according to the following scale:

– "Excellent": at least 90% of correct answers;
– "Very good": 82% to 89% correct answers;
– "Good": from 74% to 81% of correct answers;
– "Satisfactory": from 67% to 73% of correct answers;

– "Fair enough": 60% to 66% correct answers;

– "Unsatisfactory": less than 60% of correct answers.

4 The final grade for the academic discipline is defined as a weighted average grade that takes into account the overall grade for the current academic performance and the grade for passing the exam.

5 The calculation of the overall final grade for the study of an academic discipline is carried out according to the formula:

$$PKekz = 0.6 \cdot Kpotoch + 0.4 \cdot IS,$$

where PC^{ex} - final assessment of success in disciplines, in the form of a final report controls for which there is an exam;

$K^{current}$ – final assessment of success based on the results of current control (on a 100-point scale);

IS - assessment based on the results of the exam (on a 100-point scale).

0.6 and 0.4

– coefficients of the ratio of points for current success and taking the exam.

6 For performing individual independent work and participating in scientific events, winners are awarded additional points.

6.1 Additional points are added to the total points scored cake of higher education for the current educational activity (for disciplines for which the final form of control is a credit), or to the final assessment with disciplines, the final form of control for which is an exam.

6.2 The number of additional points awarded for different types of individual tasks depends on their volume and importance:

– prizes in the discipline at the international / all-Ukrainian competition of scientific student works - 20 points;

– prize places in the discipline at the All-Ukrainian Olympiads - 20 points;

– participation in the international / all-Ukrainian competition of scientific student works

– 15 points

– participation in international / all-Ukrainian scientific conferences of students and young scientists - 12 points;

– participation in all-Ukrainian Olympiads in the discipline - 10 points

– participation in olympiads and scientific conferences of the Khnadu in the discipline - 5 points;

– performance of individual scientific and research (educational and research) tasks of increased complexity - 5 points.

6.3 The number of additional points cannot exceed 20 points.

7 General final rating by study educational disciplines not may be exceed 100 points.

The overall final grade for the study of the academic discipline is determined according to the scale given in Table 2.

Table 2– The scale for evaluating the knowledge of the students based on the results of the final control of the academic discipline

Score in points	Assessment by national scale		Evaluation according to the ECTS scale	
	examinat	test	Rating	Criteria

	ion			
90-100	**Perfectly**	**Enrolled**	**A**	The theoretical content of the course has been mastered in its entirety, without gaps, the necessary practical skills for working with the mastered material have been formed, all the educational tasks provided for in the training program have been completed, the quality of their performance has been assessed by the number of points close to to the maximum
80–89	**Okay**	**Enrolled**	**B**	The theoretical content of the course has been mastered in its entirety, without gaps, the necessary practical skills for working with the mastered material have mainly been formed, all educational tasks provided for in the training program have been completed, the quality of most of them has been evaluated with a number of points close to the maximum
75-79			**WITH**	The theoretical content of the course has been mastered completely, without gaps, some practical skills of working with the mastered material have not been sufficiently developed, all the educational tasks provided for by the training program have been completed, the quality of none of them has been evaluated with a minimum number of points, some types tasks were completed with errors
67-74	**Satisfactorily**	**Enrolled**	**D**	The theoretical content of the course is partially mastered, but the gaps are not of a significant nature, the necessary practical skills for working with the mastered material are basically formed, most of the educational tasks provided by the training program have been completed, some of the completed tasks, may contain errors
60–66			**E**	The theoretical content of the course has been partially mastered, some practical work skills have not been formed, many of the educational tasks provided by the training program have not been completed, or the quality of some of them has been assessed with a number of points close to minimal

35–59	Unsatisfactorily		FX	The theoretical content of the course has been partially mastered, the necessary practical work skills have not been formed, most of the prescribed training programs of educational tasks have not been completed, or the quality of their implementation has been assessed with a number of points close to the minimum; with additional independent work on the course material, it is possible to improve the quality of the performance of educational tasks (with the possibility of repeated drafting)
0–34	Unacceptable	Not counted	F	The theoretical content of the course has not been mastered, the necessary practical work skills have not been formed, all completed educational tasks contain gross errors, additional independent work on the course material will not lead to any significant improvement in the quality of the performance of educational tasks (with a mandatory repeat course)

Course policy:

– the course involves working in a team, the environment in the classroom is friendly, creative, open to constructive criticism;

– mastering the discipline involves mandatory attendance at lectures and practical classes, as well as independent work;

– independent work involves the study of individual topics of the academic discipline, which are presented in accordance with the program for independent study, or were considered briefly;

– all tasks provided by the program must be completed within the set time;

– if the student of higher education is absent from classes for a valid reason, he presents the completed tasks during independent preparation and consultation of the teacher;

– course work must be protected no later than a week before the beginning of the examination session;

– while studying the course, students of higher education must adhere to the rules of academic integrity set forth in the following documents: "Rules of Academic Integrity participants educational process LOOKING FOR"(https://www.khadi.kharkov.ua/fileadmin/P_Standart/pologeniya/stvnz_67_01_dobroch_1.p _df), "Academic Integrity. Verification of academic, scientific and qualification texts works on plagiarism" (https://www.khadi.kharkov.ua/fileadmin/P_Standart/pologeniya/stvnz_85_1_01.pdf), "Moral and ethical code of participants in the educational process of the National Academy of Sciences (https://www.khadi.kharkov.ua/fileadmin/P_Standart/pologeniya/stvnz_67_01_MEK_1.pdf).

– in case of detection of plagiarism, the applicant receives 0 points for the task and must repeat the tasks provided for in the syllabus;

– writing off during tests and exams is prohibited (including using mobile devices). Mobile devices are allowed to be used only during online testing.

Recommended Books:

1. Daniel Korgel Virtual Reality-Spiele entwickeln mit Unity: Grundlagen, Beispielprojekte, Tipps & Tricks. – Carl Hanser Verlag GmbH & Co. KG, 2017. – 587 p.

2. Ralf Dörner Virtual und Augmented Reality (VR/AR): Grundlagen und Methoden der Virtuellen und Augmentierten Realität. – Springer Vieweg, 2019. – 470 p.

Additional sources:

1. Ian Goodfellow Deep Learning (Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning series). – The MIT Press, 2016. – 800 p.

2. Учбові посібники Adobe Animate – Режим доступу: https://helpx.adobe.com/ua/animate/tutorials.html

Developer(s)
the syllabus of the academic disciplin
Ph.D. technical of Science, Assoc. _____   Vladyslav Shulyakov
                                                   signature

Head of the KTM department _____   Oleg NIKONOV
dr. technical Sciences, Prof.   signature