Syllabus VK Programming in the Android OS

Subjects:	Programming in the Android OS
Level of higher education:	first (undergraduate)
Course page in Moodle:	https://dl2022.khadi-kh.com/course/view.php?id=1471
The scope of the educational	4 credits (120 hours)
component	
Final control form	credit
Consultations:	on schedule
Name of the department:	department of computer technologies and mechatronics
Teaching language:	English
Course leader:	Shulyakov Vladyslav Mykolayovych, Ph.D., associate professor
Contact phone number:	+38 (067) 737-68-69
Email:	jason07@ukr.net

Brief content of the educational component:

The goal isstudy of modern programming technologies for the development of mobile applications, theoretical and practical training of specialists in the field of modern programming technologies at the level of professional requirements by direction.

Subject: the concept of application programming in the Android OS, the principles of functioning of Android applications, methods and means of implementation in the visual programming environment, as well as methods of their use in developing applications.

The main tasks of studying the academic disciplinestudents have the necessary knowledge in the field of modern programming technologies:

- formation of a basic system idea, primary knowledge, abilities and skills in the basics of programming;
- studying the stages of creating applications in integrated development environments;
- development of practical skills of analytical and experimental research of the main methods and tools used in the field of programming for the Android OS when solving applied problems.

Prerequisites for studying the educational component:

- Algorithmization and programming;
- Object-oriented programming;
- Higher mathematics;
- Discrete Math;
- Theory of algorithms;
- Organization of databases and knowledge.

Competencies acquired by the acquirer:

General competences:

- 1. Ability to apply knowledge in practical situations;
- 2. Ability to communicate in the state language both orally and in writing;
- 3. Ability to develop architectures, modules and components of software systems.

Special competences:

- 1. Ability to participate in software design, including modeling (formal description) of its structure, behavior and functioning processes;
- 2. The ability to accumulate, process and systematize professional knowledge about creating and maintaining software and recognizing the importance of lifelong learning.

Learning outcomes according to the educational program:

- Be able to develop a human-machine interface;
- Be able to choose and use the appropriate methodology for creating software;
- Be able to apply methods of component software development, highlighting interfaces and implementations and interaction between modules, subsystems and components;
- Ability to demonstrate processes and results of professional activity, developing presentations, reports;
- Apply in practice instrumental software tools for domain analysis, design, testing, visualization, measurement and documentation of software.

Thematic plan

Topic No		Number of hours		
	Name of topics (LK, LR, PR, SZ, SR)	ocular	extramural	
1	Lecture #1:Basics of the Android OS.	2		
	Practical lesson 1:Exploring the	4		
	possibilities of integrated			
	Android Studio development environment			
	for creating simple applications.			
	Tasks for independent work 1	9		
2	Lecture #2: Android programming toolkit	2		
	Practical lesson 2:Exploring the	4		
	possibilities of integrated			
	Android Studio development environment			
	for creating applications with text			
	components.			
	Explore the capabilities of the Android			
	Studio integrated development environment			
	for building apps with elements			
	management.			
	Assignment for independent work 2	9		
3	Lecture #3: Principles of operation of	2		
	Android programs			
	Practical lesson 3: Exploring the	4		
	possibilities of integrated			
	Android Studio development environment			
	for creating applications with images.			
	Assignment for independent work 3	9		
4	Lecture #4: Application interface for	2		
	Android OS			

	D (1.11	4	
	Practical lesson 4:	4	
	Exploring the possibilities of integrated		
	Android Studio development environment		
	for creating applications with lists.		
	Exploring the possibilities of integrated		
	the Android Studio development		
	environment for building applications with		
	lists that		
	fall out		
	Assignment for independent work 4	9	
5	Lecture #5:Creation of visual components	2	
	Practical lesson 5: Exploring the	4	
	possibilities of integrated		
	Android Studio development environment		
	for creating applications with tables.		
	Assignment for independent work 5	9	
6	Lecture #6:Interaction with system	2	
	resources		
	Practical lesson 6:Exploring the	4	
	possibilities of integrated		
	Android Studio development environment		
	for creating applications with markup.		
	Exploring the possibilities of integrated		
	Android Studio development environment		
	for creating applications from the menu.		
	Assignment for independent work 6	9	
7	Lecture #7:Programming work with	2	
	graphics in the Android OS		
	Practical lesson 7:	4	
	Exploring the possibilities of integrated	-	
	the Android Studio development		
	environment is the act of creating		
	applications with fragments.		
	Assignment for independent work 7	9	
8	Lecture #8:Programming work with the	2	
	network in the Android OS	-	
		4	
	Practical lesson 8:	4	
	Exploring the possibilities of integrated		
	Android Studio development environment		
	for creating applications with pages.		
	Exploring the possibilities of integrated		
	Android Studio development environment		
	for creating applications that work with		
	files Assignment for independent work 8	9	
	Assignment for independent work 8		
Together	Lectures	16	
	Practices	32	
	Independent work	72	

Individual educational and research task: not provided.

Teaching methods:

- 1) verbal: 1.1 traditional: lectures, explanations, stories, etc.;
- 1.2 interactive (non-traditional): problem lectures, discussions, etc.;
- 2) visual: the method of illustrations, the method of demonstrations
- 3) practical: 3.1 traditional: practical classes, seminars;
- 3.2 interactive (non-traditional): trainings, "round table", brainstorming method.

Evaluation system and requirements:

The concretization and detailing of the criteria and evaluation system, taking into account the specifics of the educational component, is carried out on the basis of the general criteria specified in STVNZ 7.1-02:2018 "Regulations on the Organization of the Educational Process at the Khnadu" (https://www.khadi.kharkov.ua/fileadmin/P_Ychebotdel/norm_dok/stvnz_7_1_02.pdf) and STVNZ 90.1-01:2021 "Evaluation of learning outcomes of higher education applicants" (https://www.khadi.kharkov.ua/fileadmin/P_Standart/pologeniya/stvnz-90.1-01_2021.pdf).

Current performance

- 1 The current success of applicants for the performance of educational types of work in training sessions and for the performance of independent work tasks is evaluated using a four-point rating scale with subsequent transfer to a 100-point scale. During the evaluation of the current performance in are countedall types of work provided by the curriculumprogram
- **1.1** Lectures occupationare evaluated by definition quality implementation of specified tasks
- **1.2** Practical classes are evaluated by the quality of performance of a control or individual task, performance and design of practical work.
- **1.3** Laboratory occupation are evaluated quality implementation reports about implementationlaboratory work.
- **1.4** Seminary occupation are evaluated quality implementation individualssignment/abstract.
- **2** Evaluation of the current success rate of higher education applicants is carried out at each practical session(laboratoryor seminary) on a four-point scale("5", "4", "Z", "2")and are enteredinaccounting journal academic success
- "excellent": acquirerflawlessly mastered the theoretical material, demonstrates deepknowledge ofrelevant topic or academic discipline, main provisions;
- "good": the applicant has mastered the theoretical material well, possesses the main aspects from primary sources and recommended literature, presents it in a reasoned manner; has practical skills, expresses his thoughts on certain problems, but certain inaccuracies and errors are assumed in the logic of the presentation of theoretical content or in the analysis of practical ones;
- "satisfactory": the applicant has basically mastered the theoretical knowledge of the educational topic or discipline, orients himself in primary sources and recommended literature, but answers unconvincingly, confuses concepts, answers additional questions uncertainly, does not have stable knowledge; when answering questions of a practical nature, reveals inaccuracy in knowledge, does not know how to evaluate facts and phenomena, connect them with the future profession;
- "unsatisfactory": the applicant has not mastered the educational material of the topic (discipline), does not know scientific facts, definitions, hardly orients himself in primary sources and recommended literature, lacks scientific thinking, practical skills are not formed.
- **3** Final scorebycurrent activity is recognized as an arithmetic averagesumpoints for each lesson, for individual work, current control works according to the formula:

$$K^{current} = \frac{K1 + K2 + ... + Kn}{n}$$

 $\ \ \text{where} \\ K^{current} - \text{final assessment of success based on the results of current control};$

K1,K2,...,Kn- evaluation of successn-th measure of current control;

n- the number of measures of current control.

Grades are converted inpoints according to the calculation scale (table 1).

Table 1- Recalculation of the average grade for the current activity into a multi-

point scale

4-point scale	100- point scale	4-point scale	100- point scale	4-point scale	100- point scale	4-point scale	100-point scale
5	100	4.45	89	3.90	78	3.35	67
4.95	99	4.4	88	3.85	77	3.3	66
4.9	98	4.35	87	3.80	76	3.25	65
4.85	97	4.3	86	3.75	75	3.2	64
4.8	96	4.25	85	3.7	74	3.15	63
4.75	95	4.20	84	3.65	73	3.1	62
4.7	94	4.15	83	3.60	72	3.05	61
4.65	93	4.10	82	3.55	71	3	60
4.6	92	4.05	81	3.5	70	from 1.78 to 2.99 reassembly	from 35 to 59
4.55	91	4.00	80	3.45	69	from 0 to 1.77	from 0 to 34
4.5	90	3.95	79	3,4	68	repeated st	udy

Final assessment

- 1 The exam is held after studying everyonetopics of the discipline and is composed of students of higher education during the examination session after the end of all classroom classes
- **2** Applicants of higher education who have completed all types of work prescribed by the curriculum in the discipline are admitted to the exam:
 - were present ateveryone classroom classes (lectures, seminars, practical);
 - completed all missed classes on time;
- scored the minimum number of points for the current academic performance (at least 60 points, which responds on a national scale "3");

If the current performance in the discipline is lower than 60 points, the higher education applicant has the opportunity to increase his current point to the minimum before the beginning of the examination session.

3 Assessment of the knowledge of applicants when taking the exam is carried out on a 100-point scale.

Assessment of the knowledge of applicants through testing is carried out according to the following scale:

- "Excellent": at least 90% of correct answers;
- "Very good": 82% to 89% correct answers;
- "Good": from 74% to 81% of correct answers;
- "Satisfactory": from 67% to 73% of correct answers;
- "Fair enough": 60% to 66% correct answers;
- "Unsatisfactory": less than 60% of correct answers.
- 4 The final grade for the academic discipline is defined as a weighted average grade

that takes into account the overall grade for the current academic performance and the grade for passing the exam.

5 The calculation of the overall final grade for the study of an academic discipline is carried out according to the formula:

$$PK^{ekz}=0.6 \cdot K^{proh}+0.4 \cdot IS$$
.

where PC^{ex} - final assessment of success in disciplines, in the form of a final report controls for which there is an exam;

 $K^{current}$ – final assessment of success based on the results of current control (on a 100-point scale);

IS- assessment based on the results of the exam (on a 100-point scale).

0.6 and 0.4

- coefficients of the ratio of points for current success and taking the exam.
- **6** For performing individual independent work and participating in scientific events, winners are awarded additional points.
- **6.1** Additional points are added to the total points scoredcakeof higher education for the current educational activity (for disciplines for which the final form of control is a credit), or to the final assessmentwithdisciplines, the final form of control for which is an exam
- **6.2** The number of additional points awarded for different types of individual tasks depends on their volume and importance:
- prizes in the discipline at the international / all-Ukrainian competition of scientific student works - 20 points;
 - prize places in the discipline at the All-Ukrainian Olympiads 20 points;
 - participation in the international / all-Ukrainian competition of scientific student works
- 15 points
- participation in international / all-Ukrainian scientific conferences of students and young scientists - 12 points;
 - participation in all-Ukrainian Olympiads in the discipline 10 points
 - participation in olympiads and scientific conferences of the Khnadu in the discipline - 5 points;
- performance of individual scientific and research (educational and research)
 tasks of increased complexity 5 points.
- **6.3** The number of additional points cannot exceed 20 points.
- **7** General final rating by study educational disciplines not maybeexceed 100 points.

The overall final grade for the study of the academic discipline is determined according to the scale given in Table 2.

Table 2– The scale for evaluating the knowledge of the students based on the results of the final control of the academic discipline

Score	Assessment			Evaluation according to the ECTS scale
in points	by natio		Rating	Criteria
	examinat ion	credit		
90-100		Enrolled	Α	The theoretical content of the course has been mastered in its entirety, without gaps, the necessary practical skills for working with the mastered material have been formed, all the educational tasks provided for in the training program have been completed, the quality of their performance has been assessed by the number of points close to to the maximum
80–89	Okay)kay led		The theoretical content of the course has been mastered in its entirety, without gaps, the necessary practical skills for working with the mastered material have mainly been formed, all educational tasks provided for in the training program have been completed, the quality of most of them has been evaluated with a number of points close to the maximum
75-79	Oka	WITH	The theoretical content of the course has been mastered completely, without gaps, some practical skills of working with the mastered material have not been sufficiently developed, all the educational tasks provided for by the training program have been completed, the quality of none of them has been evaluated with a minimum number of points, some types tasks were completed with errors	
67-74	ctorily		D	The theoretical content of the course is partially mastered, but the gaps are not of a significant nature, the necessary practical skills for working with the mastered material are basically formed, most of the educational tasks provided by the training program have been completed, some of the completed tasks, may contain errors
60–66	Satisfactorily	Enrolled	E	The theoretical content of the course has been partially mastered, some practical work skills have not been formed, many of the educational tasks provided by the training program have not been completed, or the quality of some of them has been assessed with a number of points close to minimal

35–59	Unsatisfactorily		FX	The theoretical content of the course has been partially mastered, the necessary practical work skills have not been formed, most of the prescribed training programs of educational tasks have not been completed, or the quality of their implementation has been assessed with a number of points close to the minimum; with additional independent work on the course material, it is possible to improve the quality of the performance of educational tasks (with the possibility of repeated drafting)
0-34	Unacceptable	Not counted	F	The theoretical content of the course has not been mastered, the necessary practical work skills have not been formed, all completed educational tasks contain gross errors, additional independent work on the course material will not lead to any significant improvement in the quality of the performance of educational tasks (with a mandatory repeat course)

Course policy:

- the course involves working in a team, the environment in the classroom is friendly, creative, open to constructive criticism;
- mastering the discipline involves mandatory attendance at lectures and practical classes, as well as independent work;
- independent work involves the study of individual topics of the academic discipline, which are presented in accordance with the program for independent study, or were considered briefly:
- all tasks provided by the program must be completed within the set time;
- if the student of higher education is absent from classes for a valid reason, he presents the completed tasks during independent preparation and consultation of the teacher;
- course work must be protected no later than a week before the beginning of the examination session;
- while studying the course, students of higher education must adhere to the rules of academic integrity set forth in the following documents: "Rules of Academic Integrity participants educational process LOOKING

FOR"(https://www.khadi.kharkov.ua/fileadmin/P Standart/pologeniya/stvnz 67 01 dobroch 1.p df), "Academic integrity. Verification of academic, scientific and qualification texts works on

plagiarism"

(https://www.khadi.kharkov.ua/fileadmin/P Standart/pologeniya/stvnz 85 1 01.pdf), "Moral and ethical code of participants in the educational process of the National Academy of Sciences (https://www.khadi.kharkov.ua/fileadmin/P Standart/pologeniya/stvnz 67 01 MEK 1.pdf).

- in case of detection of plagiarism, the applicant receives 0 points for the task and must repeat the tasks provided for in the syllabus;
- writing off during tests and exams is prohibited (including using mobile devices).
 Mobile devices are allowed to be used only during online testing.

Recommended Books:

- 1. Paul J. Deitel Android 6 for Programmers: An App-Driven Approach. Prentice Hall, 2015. 464 p.
- 2. Bryan Sills, Brian Gardner Android Programming: The Big Nerd Ranch Guide. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2022. 688 p.
- 3. Cay Horstmann Core Java, Volume I: Fundamentals. Pearson, 2022. 944 p.

Additional sources:

- 1. Herbert Schildt Java: A Beginner's Guide. McGraw-Hill Education Ltd, 2022. 728 p.
- 2. Michael Burton Android App Development FD 3e. For Dummies, 2015. 432 p.
- 3. Distance course-resource "Programming in the Android OS" [Electronic resource]. Access mode:https://dl2022.khadi-kh.com/course/view.php?id=1471.

signature

Developer(s)

the syllabus of the academic disciplin -

Ph.D. technical of Science, Assoc.

Vladyslav Shulyakov

Head of the KTM department dr. technical Sciences, Prof.

signature

Oleg NIKONOV